County Based Funding Practices and Ranking Questions developed by the Local Work Group (LWG) For FY2009

County name Shelby

The LWG indicates the practices below as eligible for this County Base Fund Pool by selecting a YES. A Blank Cell indicates the practice is not available in this County Base Fund Pool.

PRAC. CODE	CONSERVATION PRACTICE NAME	The Local work Group Recommended Using These Practices (Select "yes" practices available in this funding pool for your county. Blank practices will not be available in this funding pool but may be available in other funding pools.)
472	Access Control	YES
102	Comp. Nut. Mgt. Plan	YES
332	Contour Buffer Strip	YES
342	Critical Area Planting	YES
386	Field Border	YES
393	Filter Strip	YES
561	Heavy Use Protection Area	YES
442	Irrigation System, Sprinkler	YES
449	Irrigation Water Management	YES
590	Nutrient Management	YES

Your Local Work Group developed the following yes or no questions to be used in the County Base Ranking Tool. Points will only be awarded with a **Yes** Answer. Some questions are intentionally left blank.

	Questions	Points	Responses
1	Will this practice result in the transition to percision agriculture?	100	Yes No
2	Blank	95	Yes No
3	Blank	90	Yes No
4	Blank	85	Yes No
5	Will this practice result in the development of a CNMP?	80	Yes No
6	Blank	75	Yes No
7	Will this practice result in a savings from irrgation?	70	Yes No
8	Blank	65	Yes No
9	Blank	60	Yes No
10	Will this practice result in energy savings from irrigation?	55	Yes No
11	Blank	50	Yes No
12	Blank	45	Yes No
13	Blank	40	Yes No
14	Will this practice result im the development of a filter strip or buffer strip?	35	Yes No
15	Blank	30	Yes No
16	Blank	25	Yes No
17	Will this practice result in water quality improvement?	20	Yes No
18	Blank	15	Yes No
19	Will this practiceresult in redution in soil eroision?	10	Yes No
20	Blank	5	Yes No